MENCEANDER OF CONTROL OF

Date March 24, 1967 Time 1:00 p.m. 71#pe: Funtament Dom Suilote, Genera

Porticipants:

United States United Mr. Kahler Mr. Se

Mr. Kahler Mr. Semenav
Mr. Bohlen Mr. Kavalyv
Mr. Akalovsky Mr. Zelotov

Subject. Germany and Berlin

Copies to S/S 10 Assemblars Most v Defense/ISA S/I 55 Mission Serlin SUR The White Bouse BTF Assemblasies: Paris, also for USE Storage and belotic

At Mr. Semenow's invitation, Mr. Kohler and Mr. Bohlen attended a working lumbeon with the Sowiet Mosts.

Mr. Semenov opened the conversation by saying that he regarded this talk as informal, although he was acting at Mr. Gromyko's request.

Referring to the informal vertica paper the Secretary had given to \$\frac{1}{16}\frac{1}{18}\frac{1}{16}\frac{1}{1

131416587030

SECRET ETE CALI

Mr. Kehler engreemed the view that the exchanges of views and the various convergations, as well as the papers accompanying them, and planified what the real difficulty was. In general terms, the difficulty oculd be described as follows: both sides have common interests on a mumber of questions; however, their positions on Berlin are extremely far apart, particularly since no solution can be found to the German problem as much. Mr. Kohler said that we were very dir predicted by the papers we had received from the Soviet side, because i. all the conversations so far we had tried to make it very clear that very important interests were invelved. From our standpoint, those interests were not negotiable, just as the Soviet had stated that East Berlin and East Germany were not megotiable. We had stated on many occasions that the presence of Western forces in West Berlin, the viability of West Berlin, and access to West Berlin must continue, These were facts which we believed would be the test of whether peaceful consistence would be possible. Cn the other hand, the paper the Secretary had given Mr. Gromyko was intended to state, taking assessmt of both Soviet and US interests, that since no agreement seemed to be possible on larger questions. neither side should destroy the vital interests of the other and that both of them should seek agreement on points where agreement was pessible.

Mr. Kohler observed that in studying our paper Mr. Semenor may have near struck not only by the contract of the paper but also by earthain calesions in it. Moting that these centations were deliberate, Mr. Kohler extern ferces on the large limit and the structure of the paper of the structure ferces would stay in Mest Berlin, the paper did not require influents on that presence what the Sories tide called "compation if their Berlin". In fast, we believed there was no need for such exception in the structure of the structure of the state of the structure of the

The Sementor said he understood Rr. Kohler's view. He noted that the Soviet position had been stated as elsewly as that of the United States and and he would present to Mr. Ealier's remarks in the source of further conversation when specific points of interest were discussed.

So continued by saying that he thought the United States underwiced the heats of Service position. The Service position was heated on the need for the conclusion of a peace treaty, or the peace treation, with both German states and on the papel for the continuent on that heads of the level portion of tention, before the test provided to be appeared. Therefore,

Lie question man sharker there was possibility of bringing together the respective positions of the two sides, so that their respective vital form of the state o

- 3 -

Mr. Semeow then said that he regarded his task as being of an auxiliary mature. Of course, he end Mr. Kohler could also archange general declarations of their respective positions, but that would not serve the surposes of narrowing the man between those mostitions.

by. Semenor them and that he wanted to ask a few questions about 0 lite UD space. Plant, with regard to the pressable, he was not quite clear as to have the proposal for a committee of Foreign Ministers' Deputies me secretive danks it meant; in sendance desire discontinuous control of the committee of the co

Fr. Seller responded that the heats idea of our approach was that both sides recentised that their respective vital interests should not be fairwed or changed or changed, they could find ways of easing the situation by a continuing diseasation of the various problems involved, which that on a result of systems to be various problems involved, which that the seller was the problems of the seller was a result of systems to be various problems into the little and the seller was the se

the . Maker model that a body constitute of Daputy Provide Ministers, which was not situate presently, certif discous Encopyrables and private present spreamed which would be breaker them that possible in a joint desirection by one two states. In the last on a pager what as believed in the state of the



commissions. It sight be possible that such constantors small jand to constitute. With respect to the question of non-diffusion of mealest weapons, Nr. Robler smid he was not sore thather that probles we'd be developed in the four-power constitute or in the disarrament contact. La to non-appression, that could include some nations than just the US and USBP.

Pr. Kohler said that this was the general thought underlying our approach. We had sought immediate steps that could be undertaken between our two states, and further with UK and French participation, and if necessary with occasilation of others, e.g., Folked and Creakedowskis.

Observing that the points made by Mr. Kohler warranted more detailed consideration, Mr. Semenor said he wished to turn to more specific matters, He expressed the view that the US and the Sowiet papers on principles set fourth the respective positions of the two sides and that therefore it would be useful to put them cant to each other and see what possibility activated of resconsiling the two.

He said that the United States knew that conclusion of a German peace trusty use of vital interest to the UNEN. In fact, the UNEN, believed that conclusion of much a treaty was in the vital interest believed that conclusion of much a treaty was in the vital interest of the United States, the UNEN End proposed in its paper that the parties proceed from the fact that the Soviet Union, together with a number of other states, will conclude a peace trusty with the GRF, and that agreed principles should be taken into account when much are staty was agreed principles should be taken into account when much are settly was signed it wished to take into account the respective interests of the two sides and the interests of pance. Therefore, he wondered sty much a previation could not be included, particularly in river of the fact that the Soviet The United States had advanced sertain proposals but may that the UNEN that learned on those thay turned out to be a broken ease; §

St. <u>Rebige</u> replaced that both addes were originally sometimed to sign ponce treaty with Germany. For reasons that were well known and that would be makene to discuss at this point, that was not possible new repeared to Live with his his that German that the Refs do the mans, let did not be larger to the suggested that the RESS do the mans, let did not be allowed that a pence treaty with Bart Germany meant very month, because it would not be a pence treaty with Bart Germany. The USES had in fact occasioned a pence treaty such mans, the RESS do the mans, let did not be a pence treaty with Bart Germany. The USES had in fact contained a pence treaty goes not are seven years ago, when the Repress Gorden Scheller treaty needs are seven years ago, when the Repress Gorden Scheller treaty and the Ress and t

passon treaty with Garmany. What bethreed ut mas only what the DNS has trained unclaimedly small be the result of this to-comiled passes treaty, which would sectionly a layer our interests. In samurary, even though the passes treaty, which would sectionly a layer our interests to the samurary, even though the passes treaty which the DTR, we did not believe it would mean the solution of the Garman problem and we could not associate correctives with it or for the tensor of the Garman problems and we could not associate correctives, just as we related to the tensor of the CNR choicel results and lad account on the last the contract of the CNR choicel make it is called account on all the second tensor of the threat the contract of the contract what it

Mr. Sememov seld that, in the light of Mr. Kohler's explanation and the Secretary's mericus statements, he did not quite understand the meaning of what was written in the US paper. He believed that paragraph 2 in the US draft principles went back on the position the United States had stated earlier. The United States had said that it recommined the facts of the situation, i.s., the existence of two German states and of West Berlin. Thus the problem was to ensure peaceful coexistence enoug the three entities in Germany. The United States had in stated it proceeded from the existing situation in Germany. However, personants ? (a) and 2 (b) in the US draft principles did not proceed from that mituation but rather from a mituation the US apparently believed should be strived for. Thus the United States did not take the existing facts but rather those that did not exist. Indeed it sought to settle existing facts on the basis of non-existing facts. Mr. Semenov thought this amounted to mixing different things and notions. He felt the question of unification of Germany had been dresm into the US paper artificially and the two sides had no sommon language on that point,

to the hazis of the discussions in New York, Mankington, and here in Genera the 1928 had thought that there was a repprochaent between the positions of the two sides — although each side of course, understood the partition differential. — that there excited two Derman states and these partitions of the side of the si

Substantiate in promised 2 (a) of the UD Devil principles, he thought the provided for groundwarfs with one part of thereup deglied the president fig entrements with one part of the property deglied the president of the provided the president of the provided the provided the provided the provided the same time the primer reduced to a possel bready with a united thereup.

1.0., a non-existing effection. This president was not in occur with the tensor of the tensor of the same time to the tensor of tensor of the tensor of the tensor of the tensor of tensor of the tensor o

THE PERSON NAMED OF PERSON

Libe different parts of Germany. Thus it leabed to him that the US position in 1977-99 had taken assessed of reality, whereas now the United States are not used to the fartual states and the realized states and the fartual states and the states which would not become activation, a situation which would not become activate.

Referring to Mr. Kohler's remark that a peace treaty had in fact been concluded in 1955 because the Soviet Union had declared termination of the state of war with Germany, Mr. Semenov asserted there was a difference in the juridical sense between termination of the state of war and a peace treaty. The Paris Agreements had also terminated the state of war but the United States did not claim they were a peace treaty. In any event, the USSR was not asking the United States to give its blessing to a peace treaty with the OFR. The USER would sign such a treaty and it would do it taking into ascount the precedent of the peace treaty the United States had equalused with Japan. The problem was what would take place in connection with and after the conclusion of a peace treaty with the OFR, when the provisional arrangements established in 1955 in connection with the absence of a peace treaty at that time would terminate and the GUR would have full sovereign rights. Whether this should be included in the principles or not was another question; what was of interest now was the substance.

As to paragraph 2 in general, it should deal with the problem of German settlement taking into assount the fast that a German peace treaty would bring the two German states eloser and facilitate unification, which was new only a mirrae in the desert.

Mr. Kohler saked what kind of a peace treaty Mr. Semenow had in wind.

Fr. Semanor replied that any of the three variants proposed by the Soviet Union would facilitate the salidevement of that objective, although the Soviet Union would prefer a single peace treaty with both Germanies.

No. Sensors want on to say that resulfication was a matter for the Germans the mailton to settle. The NRO and the GER should decide this problem for the medical was all our two scentries should not interfere or medical in White mother?

fir, Middle detail that before answering fir. Sommor's questions he tributed to eith a questions of his own. He risted that he had not been carry that the Sordet Modes was agreed to an evertual resultiantian of Germany. He have that there was differenced of establish before the continued that two cates may be an untilisation of pointing before the figure that two cates may be an untilisation of pointing the project court put figures that two cates may be an untilisation of the control of the court of the co

0 0

- 7 - useful if Mr. Semmor could say whether this understanding of the Seviet position was correct or not.

Tar. Sementer responded by reflerating that remnification of Germany was a matter for the Germans thouselves to resolve. Since the smit between the two Germanies had conserved not on a national basis but on the basis of social principles and since pather side appeared to be willing to abandon its social order, rounification seemed to be very difficult. Whether this was to our liking or not was a different question. Citing the Civil War in America as on example, he said that interference the United States either at the time of the War or later. Therefore, both sides must be extremely cautious and must not base themselves on their tastes or likings, but must rather proceed from facts and realities. In any event, polesies on this subject would not be useful. He reiterated that a German peace treaty would contribute to a rapprochement between the two Germanies and asserted that the absence of such a treaty had led to the alignation between them. The present situation was dangerous to both the US and the USSE, particularly in view of the fact that the membership of the two German states in the two respective military grountness small lead to developments that could hardly be someidered as desirable, unless one had lost his senses.

must a 15 the mithed of reunification both sides were in agreement that forwarp should be reunited everstatily. As a faithful reader of Undricht, kitner, and other figures in Bast Genesay he knee that they were in favor of one sethed of reunification, shills we advocated anather. It was for this reason that we had put forward a forwals which both sides could saweyt and which did not provided the whoch of reunification. He noted that Nr. Semmor had not answered exactly the question he had been saided.

Mr. Kahler reitersted his assumption that while there were disagree-

to State manufact that alter redute to discussed. However, he



wished to remet that symm the Bart Gormans bullered that Greener should be remitted, but on their terms. Straings to other points resided by Rr. Sessow, Rr. Sesso

Resalling Nr. Semmon's reference to the Japanese passe treaty, Nr. Kohler stated that, as the President had explained to Nr. Evaluation in Yiema, the two situations were not at all comparable. Nr. Kohler in Yiema, the two situations were not at all comparable. Nr. Kohler the President of a passe breaty. In the case of the Japanese present you cannot be the results out that you contrary to what that does not all the results would be in the case of a passe treaty between Newton and Pankow. In this connection Nr. Kohler passes that the passes that you have been a present stated by the 1058 not to signify the passes treaty with Japane.

As to when interis measures would stop, Mr. Robler stated that this question had been discussed by Mr. Semenov and himself earlier. Actually, he believed that trunsitory measures must continue until a real solution of the German problem had been antiswed.

Fr. Semenor wondered whether he was to understand Mr. Kohler's with research as mening that arrangements with regard to non-diffusion of muslear weapone and to non-aggreeate news also interia arrangements, f

We holder regited that this was served in a same, but pointed out that the language of purapsyle 3 and 4 had been deviced to provide for those arrangements to be valid own in the event of resulfination of Germany or of a puses treaty with a united Germany. In other words, we had deject by intro slear to the NEWH that these were continuing oblimations.

ŧ

(a) common of the line describes a specific a large property of the large large and the large la

is attached [

The Maker respected that the destroy was true. This meant that arrangements under paragraph 3 and 4, j.e., non-diffusion of mediatr waspone, non-agreemism, and non-near of force in Europe, would continue in connection with an eventual remultication of Germany.

. Sweener pointed out that the US and USSR were not alone in the '75.

The US and STER, as great powers, must look in every direction?

Mr. Bullen observed that any agreement with regard to Germany at this time sould be eally of a preliminary mature. However, arrangements under paragraphs 3 and 4 chould be walld even in the future.

. Seamor and that this question could perhaps be reverted to later, but obbserved that from this questions its kindler may have detected what blooghts be jud in mind, so that he could perhaps go back and take them those accounts to the could perhaps go back and take them those accounts.

Fr. Roller and that if Mr. Sammor studied the paper he would see that pergraph 2 (a) seem that pergraph 2 (c) the terminate the which is made of rewelf-Rection of comment. There would be a unifed forward and a married member of the second o

See, Summor instincted he would think about this but said he tained to clearly his kinget by etting an ensume from 11th. Be eath that daughter use expecting now, but he did not see any purpose in discussing at this rates with collapse the child should go to when he did not know shuther it would be a buy or a girl. If he were to raise this question with his daughter, and he was a girl. If he were to raise this question with his daughter, and he was a girl of the collapse of

By Common these termed to prompanial 1 of the US paper. No cheerwork text the tities of the principal new "merial". He mad the forwist fluten had tend proposed by the supervise new a different one and the first had been not prompared the same of the flutter of the merial because of the

300 E 603 GCT

Iready normal, sharemen the fortest Union had been speaking of sharpes in west Derlin and of the necessity of eventing a free sity. The Seriat Union was interested in the substance of this problem. However, perhaps the United States did not wish to exceed this problem. However, perhaps the United States did not wish to exceed this problem. However, perhaps could be resulted on this, atthough it appeared clear that the finite of the states of the speaker of the second of the

Referring to Pr. Semenov's comment on paragraph 1 (a), Pr. Kohler and that Pr. Semenov and implied that that paragraph was beneficial only to the UN. However, that paragraph was only a statement of fasts with facts to detain the USSR did not visib to subscribe were contined, i.e., the presence of Western troops in West Earlin, Which, Pr. Kohler streamed, which was a strictly mon-negotiable subject. The paragraph state the principle which we hallow his best agreed or at least scoopled, even if se did specified with the second state of the principle which we hallow his best agreed or at least scoopled, even if se did specified problem to be second state of the principle specified problem on the three be no slable.

The first the quantion of West Demilin's status as suggested by the USEN, Mr. Kohler recalled his earlier discussion on this subject with Nr. Semmor and reitersted that from our point of view West Berlin was a very free eity. It had a status and we did not see any reason to change that status. In fast, we wendered the their the results of Bories proposals subject to the contract of the contract

As to paragraph 1 (b), Wr. Echlar and that there were a number of questions for adjustment from the standpoint of the interests of both sides. The USSS had already related some matters. One of the issues the USSS had actual burges was that of reviewee, but it essents to have been largely received. Seather question the USSS had related to have been largely received. Seather question the USSS had related was that of exactled subvector scriptistics; thile we did not understand that this ment, we settle herby fileness this matter.

belowed to drawin errongements, We. Rither resulted the fact that the fifth state the fifth strengements with Bast General rs 1955 the 1028 had reserved the confinction between the transmissions with stemper to all of General and with research to this Rithstates by the Section Person. The Neutron Process and demand to this Rithstates by the Section Person. The Neutron Process and demand of the Section Person and the Section Person and the Section Person and Section 21 the up to their children in the Section Person and Section Person Per

STORE AND COLUMN

- 11 -

. Sommer retirected that text formers and the UER had no compation. Trough, the results were compating to trough, the results were compating to trough and region at the retire. Be claimed that then the Falls was in fact on defendance part of SETO and the Poisson Amphilia within the text they of the CER. While the United States believes that this was normal and largical, the UERS regarded the situation as almost and normal and dangerous. It was for this reason that the Soriet Unite believes that it was necessary to shange this attention taking into account the changes which had consured in Germany.

We. Semmor went on to say that in rises of the fact that the United States had related the question of puszentees the Sortet Union had suggested the stationing in Newt Heritard partied for time. The Silver hat the attention prevailing in Newt Heritard partied of time. The Silver hat the attention prevailing in Newt Berlin was good and required no change was a subjective one. This restinded his of Schopenhaum a built in that the world was a creation of its will. (1) the sound was a constitute of its will (1) the sound of the sound of

Referring to paragraph 1 (e), Mr. Semenov said that paragraph provoked a feeling of charrin on his part with regard to the work done here in Geneva. The United States had asked the Soviet Union to set forth its views on the question of compatability between free secons and respect for the sovereignty of the GR. The USSR had done so, but the US had failed even to take notice of the Soviet views. He said that he wished to emphasise that the Soviet working paper on transit would be applicable only in the event of agreement on a peaceful settlement, If there was agreement on a peaceful settlement, then the question of access would be settled on that besis. On the other hand, if there was no such agreement, the United States would have to some to terms with Ulbright. Mr. Semenov then observed that Mr. Bols was in Geneva. In the consultations Mr. Bols had had here he had expressed great interest in the status of the nagotiations between our two sides, in particular whether they were simply merking time and thus delaying the emeluaton of a peace treaty. This factor should also be taken into account.

retard by W. Assamer, Eirst of all, there must be absolute ellerity as to the Bahayai clusterine, the President and the Severages had mise it pay clear Wart than of Allind Short We presents of the term from in the Thirty, which is the Ersech of assame in the Thoulis, these the generated Nov. of Whit. Shipman, Buy Atlant to Sate Thous the generated Nov. of Whit. Shipman, Buy Atlant to Sate Thous the generated Nov. of Whit. Shipman, Buy Atlant to Sate Thousan are mounted by Saylinestine Notice in Burt Indian. What was not a logal point, not movily a spikeophys of or "the absorbine had developed, to lad larve the disposition Notice in the lad the dark with the paper, who ballered Mark Was precious of viry Dynay on Novel Berlige use committed for their protection. It was forward more recovery, the videolity states are sufficient which is a present that the second of the state of the second of the second

Mr. Mehier seld he wished to concert on the three main nainte

- 12 -

out of the acty. Referring to Nr. Sement's remark shout West Berlin's being a still tray adverse part, Pr. Kelther such be stilent to result once again Nr. Exresheber's statement to the affect that he did not understand the military rules of the statisting of Western troops in West Demiin and that in the event of a still tary clash he would wish to have many new Newtern troops in Mest Demiin because they would be captured inmatically. In also restricted Nr. Sement that he would wish not make a fast of the sement of the sement of the sement of the sement mask of East Comment forces.

As to Rr. Season's statement that the Soviet Union was seaking a change in the situation, Br. Solider said that the question was of shat the Soviet Union search, while he was not bringing in the question of intent, he would chearry that the request for the atthitumal of areas forces and the proposal for the substantion of ascess to the white of East Germany involved real injury to our interests. It was true that we adulted that the attituation in Berlin was abnormal, but the real shorewal situation was the distance of Germany

Re. Soller continued by maging that we had examined the Sortist papers no seess. Reverv. Re. Occupie had stated to the Soretter like other day that that paper was sholly listed to the stitherswal of our forces from West Berlin. Thus free that standpoint is use inapplicable. Purthersore, while the Sortist Union had stated the view that it was possible to recomble freedom of ascess with what it called respect for the soverationty of the URI, the Sortist paper in fact unbiseted assess to the Cast Germany. Re. Someon state he facilities with heat German statements as to how finish Germany winded to heating seeses. As grapped & Germany claims to the South S

Reverting to paragraph 1 (a) of the US paper, Mr. Kehler said it was simply a statement of principle on which both sides could agree. It could serve as a guidance to a body of the four Deputy Foreign Ministers to work on the agglication of that principle.

The passage and he stands to return Rr. Robber's compliant and may write the Robber has not among his questions either. Reserve, this was perhaps on its should be since both sides were in the presence of distancedant. We then professed amount as it is, righter's commit on the first and the standard amount and the professed amount and present and the professed amount and present and the professed amount and the profess



different. However, the United States had stated that concess and soverelighty small be reconciled and that such arrangements were normal and sade all over the world. In the light of all this, the United States position was smalest and this kindered oursement, unless, of occurse, there were seem hidden matters.

Wt. Boilen observed that the Seriet paper contained a contradiction where it estated that the GTR would be issuing transit visua, while providing for Next Berlin's freedom to decide who should be allowed to enter or leave the sity.

No. Kohler recalled in this connection East German statements at the time of the Attornay Generally a visit to West Berlin in which they had claimed that his flight to West Berlin represented an abuse of the attracturit

[Rr. Semenov experted that if Mr. Kohler and Mr. Bohlen read the Soviet paper they would see that this question did not arise. The Soviet paper, be claimed, was based on the considerations expressed by Ashanasdor Tomogreen in Meacow?

.41 :

PHE R

AHT.

Mr. <u>Notier</u> quoted paragraph 5 of the Soviet paper on transit and pointed eat that it provided for controlling authority of the GDR with respect to transit arrangements. He inquired how those provisions could be reconsiled with freedom of soceae.

[Mr. Semeny and that the answer was very simple and quoted paragraph 5 back to Mr. Kohler. He shad perfected out that the final subparagraph of that paragraph provided for printinally a status quo. He claimed that the provisions of paragraph 5 were based on the Chicago Convention, but a provision of paragraph 5 were based on the Chicago Convention, but the convention of the convention of

W. <u>Refuer</u> returned that the truthle was that effective control was being given by the CRI and eithed the reluent pertines of subparagraphs (a), (b), and (c) of paragraph 5. In periods out that the CRI was being given the right to detared no relutation or non-compliance by similance and to return the right of further flights. He also referred to the first that the CRI had thready stated through its appearance that already stated through its appearance that already stated the through its appearance to the first that the CRI had thready stated the thready in the CRI and all the complete the CRI and that the number to true, send control to two first to their CRI, for didn't to had no true, tend control to two first to their Burifan. Wr. Relater streamed that this was twistly unasceptable and could bring on only to Franch 1, heat Furling. This propopal, acide from the linkage to the pithing only in the pithing of the control of the control

The . Sensing said he was emberoassed by the riches of arguments he could use in response to the . Kaiter's research. So probessed that he could cause in response to the . Kaiter's research to the problem. The saudictions of the sensition of th

Stating that both addes were in the process of nagotiations, is said that there was no need for maticing statements by Rat Desire.

After all, he had not mentioned statements made by Clar, Adenser, Streuss, or others, that we were tailing about here was a new strated on the contract of the contract of

he K

outing the valevant portion of paragraph 5 of the Soviet paper or transit, Mr. Semenov and that the provision that airlines could enter into appropriate agreements with the ORE had been designed to facilitate the US position, since it envisaged the possibility of certain values not vishing too enter into agreements with the ORE.

Mr. Bohlen inquired whether this included the use of Tempelhof.

Mr. Semenov replied that Mr. Bohlen had the answer to his question in the question itself.

He wont on to assert that the governing provision in the paper was pranquely in thick provided for free access, and asserted that the rest of the paper deadl primarily with the technical arrangements which should be complied with, but as they were complied with in other communities. In such complied with, but as they were complied with in other communities. In such contribute, it is such contributed as Demanti, Icalized etc., where sizered did not necessarily land but were will immighent to greated contributing land and the same will immighe to the present contribution provided for somewhaten of trunts the resumpeants of the state of the same contribution of the same composition of the same contribution of the same composition of the same contribution of the same contribution of the same contribution of the same contribution of the same confidence of the same contribution of the same confidence of the same contribution of the same c

[Source, he wished to raise two additional points with require to the general portioner. Baffering to the question of non-diffracts of monitor temporar, he sited perspapely? of the forcet paper on general principles and postered one than it provided for non-transfer of makes principles and postered one than it provided for non-transfer of makes to the contract of makes the provided for non-transfer of makes and postered one to these two manufactures of the provided for agreement to these two monitories was lost in the United States' paper and one question was emertted on some contract of monitories. The research was to be understanted of the contract of the states of the paper of the states of

Fig. Soller stressed that there was no shange in our pentition and printed set that the language in our paper was precisely what the Secretary had told Rr. Greegin in New York and Maskington. He stated that the United States ame interested in Mucha problem on a broader beast than just the two parts of forestry. The United States was interested in nondifficult on its malester measures to expecte occurred an other shring such interested and the state of the was the mass, but ours was much broader and not directed only to the two parts of Germany.

[Mr. Semency wendered why the United States could not ascept the approach stated in the Soviet paper and why the United States wished to apply the principle on a broader besis.]

Mr. Kobler replied that the United States' policy on this question was universal in seeps. We were interested in many other countries not obtaining rueless weapons, e.g., China.

Em. Samon registed that the converentions have sure about Germany. The URES could not assessy about a partition, software the convertion for secondary and the problem. Converty was a defeated construct by successive and the secondary and the secondary of the secondary of the secondary of the secondary fall-orized the pullay of Adecisions, who advocated full conventy, including maleur weapons, for the Bundessehr and opposed my dissertantian assists (4-14). In particularies that Germany was a defeated constry and that it retilled from the secondary of the secon

The state of the s

Fr. Eshler stated he wanted to make a few remerks with regard to Mr. Growto had bed in Washington that instead of the Meet saking any out with East Corverny the two sides would suree between them ess and then the Seviet Union would make arrengements with Rest Cornegy. Beforeing to truffly arrangements, he stated they already existed in BASC. He queried whether BASC would be terminated under the Soviet measons and whether there would be only East German arrenge He then said he wested to ask another question which the Seviet side might perhaps enguer at some time. He noted Mr. Grossko's statement that Seviet processle for assess were linked to the withdressl of Vestern treess and wondered whether, if this statement was true, there was any surpose in discussing access further. Otherwise, of course, the discussion could continue, He also felt that clarification was needed with regard to the Soviet proposal for a four-power sometasion which had been moved "access sutherity" by the Soviet Union, although in advancing our proposal for an assess authority we had assething suite different in mind.

A. Semmer reacted to Nr. Kelher's reservis outse strongly and stated that surhaps there was no point in leving and released not at all. He said that the Servist side was not discussing the SUR paper with any pro-faury conditions, nor was it discussing the Surie paper with any mask emotitions. He suggested that perhaps another interesting topic could be discussed that perhaps another interesting topic could be discussed.

Mr. Kehler replied that he had only referred to Mr. Orenyko's elect statement about the link.

We. Beinge engageried that other questions he discounsed, hertwring to non-diffusion of musicut respons, he classed that me did not must be discordante against supera and som so reasons for such discordantation, to ethnic to establish may globally policy or this shows and some to made the state of the s

- 17
Nr. <u>Bobles</u> sheared this one only an extension of the principle of theorements.

The Regions and his new see that the Ditted States had in sind servition? The distinct. Must be Radius in States had not proceed the Lance. Furthermore, while the Ditted States made of service of feres with pages to be reduce, the Service Mines made of concision of feres with pages to be reduced, the Service Mines made in colidifying the bendere Tanasition, who Bitted States meritaned description Lines, whereas λz . In addition, the Bitted States meritaned description Lines, whereas λz . The Borist Mines regions before we think descript as frontiers. The present DD position, he asserted, was not in soof-entity with past U.S. statements and therefore proposated a view basically.

He went on to may that, in general, the US paper after the discussion today did not appear to him to be directed at resulting understanding, and he regertled it wary makes. However, he would, of course, infered Nr. Orespic of the conversation. He retterated his readiness to meet again either tearight or theorems. T

No. Nobley replied that with regard to frontiers we had used in the paper the Language we had always used. We saw, of source, name of the Soviet peatition on this issue. However, while we were same of the line within Geometry and were prepared to life with it, we were not prepared to give it our bleaming from the juridical point of view. What we destroy was conscioud.

Referring to the US paper, No. Eabler stated that if No. Semenor under the paper he would see that we had tried to take into accessor the Soviet position within the centimes of our vital interests. He agreement the hope that the paper sould fastlitate the reaching of understanding between the two midden.

We hables charved that we had propored our paper in the light of the great disagreement which excited between the two cides. He subwaved to the four alternatives mentioned by the Scorriery, two of which have not pencific For the two cides. It was a reportable four that disagreement one drap, but the main pion majoritying our paper was to provide a based for future magnification.

It was agreed that this same group would met again tenerror,

Breifted by Ministrating 37